2. SYNOPSIS

Sponsor:	Individual Study Table	(For National
Shionogi & Co., Ltd.	Referring to Part of the Dossier	Authority Use only)
Name of Finished Product:	Volume:	
Not applicable		
Name of Active Ingredient:	Page:	
Naldemedine		
Study Title:		
A phase 3, open-label study of a pain and opioid-induced constig		n-malignant chronic
Investigators and Study Centers in Japan.	ers: This was a multicenter stud	y conducted at 21 study
Publication (Reference): Not a	annlicable	
Studied Period:	applicable	
February 2014 (first patient	enrolled) to September 2015	(last patient completed)
Phase of Development: 3		(lust putient completed)
Objectives:		
The primary objective of the stu	ıdv.	
• To evaluate the long-ter	m safety of naldemedine in patient of naldemedine in patient of naldemedine in patient (OIC).	ents with chronic non-
The secondary objectives of the	study:	
• To evaluate the efficacy	of naldemedine.	
• To assess the pharmacol (nor-naldemedine).	kinetic profiles of naldemedine	and its metabolite
Methodology:		
This was a multicenter, single-a non-cancer pain and OIC were week Screening Period, 48-wee followed by 46-week Treatmen patients received a single tablet Treatment Period.	to be enrolled. The study consists k Treatment Period (2-week Treatment Period 2), and 2-week Follow-	sted of 3 parts: 2- to 4- eatment Period 1 up Period. Eligible
Number of Patients (Planned	and Analyzed):	
Planned: 40		
Enrolled: 43		

Full analysis set (FAS): 42

Safety Population (for analysis of naldemedine): 43

Sponsor: Shionogi & Co., Ltd.	Individual Study Table Referring to Part of the Dossier	(For National Authority Use only)
Name of Finished Product:	Volume:	
Not applicable		
Name of Active Ingredient:	Page:	
Naldemedine		

Diagnosis and Criteria for Inclusion:

Patients with chronic non-cancer pain and OIC who satisfied the following criteria were included in the study.

Inclusion Criteria:

- 1. Patients aged 20 years or older at the time of informed consent.
- 2. Men and women, outpatients.
- 3. Patients whose pain had persisted for 3 months or longer and who had been diagnosed with any kind of chronic non-cancer pain.
- 4. Patients had to be treated with opioids (regular-use) for at least 2 weeks prior to the Screening enrollment, and be treated with a stable opioid regimen for 14 days prior to the Treatment enrollment (100% to 150% of the dose of regular-use opioids 14 days prior to the day of Treatment enrollment).
- 5. Patients who were currently receiving laxatives for OIC, or who had been treated with laxatives and were not currently receiving laxatives due to insufficient efficacy or other reasons.
- 6. Patients whose frequency of SBMs was 5 times or less during 14 consecutive days prior to the Treatment enrollment and those who experienced one or more of the following bowel symptoms in 25% or more of all bowel movements (BMs) without regard to the use of the rescue-use laxatives. A BM occurring within 24 hours after rescue-use laxative therapy was not considered an SBM.
 - Straining during BM (2 [moderate] or above on the straining symptom score)
 - Feeling of incomplete evacuation
 - Passage of hard stools or pellets (1 or 2 on Bristol Stool Form Scale)
- 7. Patients who were able to receive oral intake of drugs, food and beverages.
- 8. Patients who were able to assess condition using the patient's diary (if the patient was capable of assessment using patient's diary but cannot record the assessment on the patient's diary, recording by someone on behalf of the patient will be allowed).
- 9. Patients who received explanation about the study and provided written informed consent to participate in the study.

_

Spons Shione	or: ogi & Co., Ltd.	Individual Study Table Referring to Part of the Dossier	(For National Authority Use only)
Name	of Finished Product:	Volume:	
Not ap	oplicable		
Name	of Active Ingredient:	Page:	
	medine		
Exclus	sion Criteria:		
	prior to the Screening er carcinoma of the skin th	bry of active treatment for cancer rollment (except for basal cell at had been successfully resect	or squamous cell ted).
2.	gastrointestinal (GI) trac intestinal transit (such as hypothyroidism), irritab (such as ulcerative coliti disorder which could ca prolapse, and uterine my medical histories were c	the of significant structural abn et (such as mechanical ileus), d s paralytic ileus, uncontrolled h le bowel syndrome (IBS), infla is, Crohn's disease), active dive use constipation (such as uterin yoma affecting bowel movement onsidered to have an effect on ator, even if the patients had be	liseases affecting hyperthyroidism or ammatory bowel disease erticular disease, pelvic me prolapse, rectal nt). Patients whose GI function by the
3.	which was considered to to the Screening enrollm	gone surgery or intervention (su b have effects on the GI function ment, or who were scheduled to ming Period to the completion of	ons within 28 days prior receive such surgery or
4.	the Screening enrollmen	gone radical surgery for pain sint, or who were scheduled to renning Period to the completion of	ceive such surgery or
5.		ice of ileus within 1 year prior	
6.		of any potential non-opioid ca be a major contributor to the co	
7.	Patients who had never	taken laxatives for the treatment	nt of OIC.
8.	Patients who had reporte Treatment enrollment.	ed no BMs for 7 consecutive da	ays prior to the
9.	the Treatment enrollmer	normal (ULN) for alanine amin aferase (AST)	

Sponsor: Shionogi & Co., Ltd.	Individual Study Table Referring to Part of the Dossier	(For National Authority Use only)
Name of Finished Product:	Volume:	
Not applicable		
Name of Active Ingredient:	Page:	
Naldemedine		
	lisimpaction during Screening Pe treatment by the completion of T	
11. Patients with artificial st	toma.	
respiratory, hepatic, or r laboratory tests, ECG, a ineligible for the study b	d to have medically significant car renal functional disorders based of nd physical findings, and who we by the investigator/subinvestigator	on the medical history, ere considered or.
	n or suspected to have hypersenses	
	ntly receiving opioid receptor an cheduled to receive such medicin	•
15. Patients who were pregr partner's pregnancy dur	nant or lactating, or who expected ing the study period.	d own pregnancy or
	dered to be unable or unwilling the Screening enrollment to 30 day	
17. Patients who had receive to the Screening enrolln	ed any other investigational drug	(s) within 28 days prior
18. Patients who had partici received the study drug.	pated in naldemedine (S-297995) trials and had
	idered ineligible for the study by ator based on the concomitant the	
Test Product, Dose and Mode	of Administration, Lot Numbe	er:
Naldemedine (naldemedine 0.2 (Packaged Lot No.	mg tablet); 0.2 mg/day; oral; the).	lot number was
Naldemedine (naldemedine 0.1 (Packaged Lot No.	mg tablet); 0.1 mg/day; oral; the).	lot number was
the reduction of patients' QOL I severe GI AE) such as diarrhea	en the investigator/subinvestigator by GI adverse event (AE) (as a gr and abdominal pain, temporary c illowed according to the AE hand	uide, moderate or liscontinuation or dose

_

Sponsor: Shionogi & Co., Ltd.	Individual Study Table Referring to Part of the Dossier	(For National Authority Use only)	
Name of Finished Product:	Volume:		
Not applicable			
Name of Active Ingredient:	Page:		
Naldemedine			
discontinued. Naldemedine wa	arily discontinued, naldemedine v is restarted at the same time as th ation period of opioid was over 2 cipation.	e opioids were	
Duration of Treatment: 48 we	eeks		
	Mode of Administration, Lot	Number:	
Reference therapy was not set.			
Criteria for Evaluation:			
Efficacy Assessments:			
responder was defined a	bonders for Treatment Period 1 (2 as a patient with 3 or more SBMs BM per week from baseline.		
Treatment Period 1 (2 v	spontaneous bowel movement (Oveeks): A CSBM responder was l by feeling of complete evacuati	defined as a patient	
• Proportion of SBM resp Treatment Period 1 (2 v	oonders (or CSBM responders) ir veeks).	a each week for	
• Change from baseline in Treatment Period 1 (2 v	n the frequency of SBMs (or CSI veeks).	BMs) per week for	
• Weekly change from ba weeks).	seline in frequency of SBMs for	Treatment Period 1 (2	
• Time to the first SBM (drug.	or CSBM) after the first administ	tration of the study	
• Daily change from base weeks).	line in the frequency of SBMs for	or Treatment Period 1 (2	
	vith at least 1 SBM (or CSBM) for urs after the first administration of		
e	n the frequency of SBMs with Br er week for Treatment Period 1 (2		
	n the frequency of SBMs per wee 1 during BM) for Treatment Peri		

Sponsor: Shionogi & Co., Ltd.	Individual Study Table Referring to Part of the Dossier	(For National Authority Use only)
Name of Finished Product:	Volume:	
Not applicable		
Name of Active Ingredient:	Page:	
Naldemedine		
Change from baseline in week for Treatment Per	the frequency of the use of resc iod 1 (2 weeks).	cue-use laxatives per
	seline in the abdominal bloating reatment Period 1 (2 weeks).	or abdominal
e	e each observation time point in (PAC-SYM) or Patient Assessr OL).	
Proportion of patients w	vith PAC-SYM responder or PAC	C-QOL responder.
Safety Assessment:		
	vents (MACE), pain intensity (N rawal Scale (COWS) assessment gs, clinical laboratory tests.	6
Pharmacokinetics Assessment	t:	
Plasma concentrations of nalder	medine and its metabolite (nor-n	aldemedine)
Statistical Methods:		
subjects with non-missing obse (SD), median, minimum, and m	nous variables were summarized rvations, arithmetic mean (mean naximum values as descriptive st using the frequency count and the statistics.), standard deviation atistics; categorical
*	ned at the 0.05 significance leve No multiplicity adjustment was	•
Efficacy Analyses:		
Proportion of SBM/CSBM resp	onder and changes in the freque (CI) were calculated for Treatm	
1 1	o the first SBM/CSBM was mad A and its 95% CI was calculated	-
	s in the abdominal bloating and a use of rescue-use laxatives per v	
	AC-SYM was summarized by sc summarized. The mean overall	

Sponsor: Shionogi & Co., Ltd.	Individual Study Table Referring to Part of the Dossier	(For National Authority Use only)
Name of Finished Product:	Volume:	
Not applicable		
Name of Active Ingredient:	Page:	
Naldemedine		

last observation time point (Visit 15 or early termination visit) was compared with baseline by using the paired t-test.

The change in the mean score for each domain of PAC-SYM, the mean overall score for PAC-QOL and the mean score for each domain of PAC-QOL were analyzed in a similar way.

A responder for PAC-SYM was defined as a patient who achieved an improvement in the mean overall score of at least 1 point from baseline. The proportion of responders for overall PAC-SYM was summarized at each Visit and last observation time point. The proportion of responders for the dissatisfaction domain of PAC-QOL was analyzed in a similar way.

Safety Analyses:

AEs were classified by system organ class (SOC) and preferred term (PT) using Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) Version 16.1. AEs which occurred/worsened after initiation of the study were termed treatment-emergent adverse event (TEAEs) and the number of patients with TEAEs and that of TEAEs were tabulated. The incidence of TEAEs and the 95% CI were calculated. The CI for the incidence was calculated using the Clopper-Pearson method. Treatment-related AEs (AEs which were considered related to the study drug by the investigator) were summarized in a similar way. The fatal TEAEs (deaths), serious (non-fatal) TEAEs (SAEs), TEAEs leading to the withdrawal of study drug, the significant TEAEs, and treatment-related AEs were tabulated in a similar fashion. The incidence of MACE and its 95% CI were calculated. MACE were categorized by using Standardised MedDRA Queries (SMQ).

Summary of Results

Efficacy:

A total of 42 patients were included in the FAS.

The proportion of SBM and CSBM responders for Treatment Period 1 was 81.0% and 42.9%, respectively. The proportion of SBM and CSBM responders for each week were 85.7% and 76.2% at Weeks 1 and 2, and 52.4% and 40.5% at Weeks 1 and 2, respectively.

The mean change from baseline in the frequency of SBMs and CSBMs per week for Treatment Period 1 was 5.42 SBMs and 2.74 CSBMs, respectively. The mean change from baseline to each week in the frequency of SBMs and CSBMs per week was 6.24 and 4.60 SBMs at Weeks 1 and 2, and 3.19 and 2.29 CSBMs at Weeks 1 and 2, respectively

Sponsor: Shionogi & Co., Ltd.	Individual Study Table Referring to Part of the Dossier	(For National Authority Use only)
Name of Finished Product:	Volume:	
Not applicable		
Name of Active Ingredient:	Page:	
Naldemedine		

The median time to the first SBM and CSBM after the first administration of the study drug was 8.41 and 28.22 hours, respectively.

The mean change from baseline in the frequency of SBMs on each observation day for Treatment Period 1 was in the range of 0.47 to 1.49. The mean change from baseline in the number of days with at least 1 SBM and CSBM per week for Treatment Period 1 was 3.20 and 1.98 days, respectively.

The proportion of patients with at least 1 SBM at 4, 8, 12 and 24 hours after the first administration of the study drug was 40.5%, 47.6%, 57.1%, and 78.6%, respectively. The proportion of patients with at least 1 CSBM at 4, 8, 12 and 24 hours after the first administration of the study drug was 19.0%, 33.3%, 40.5%, and 50.0%, respectively.

The mean change from baseline in the frequency of SBMs with BSS of 3 or 4 per week for Treatment Period 1 was 1.93 SBMs. The mean change from baseline to each week in the frequency of SBMs with BSS of 3 or 4 per week was 1.86 SBMs at Week 1 and 2.00 SBMs at Week 2.

The mean change from baseline in the frequency of SBMs without straining per week for Treatment Period 1 was 2.86 SBMs. The mean change from baseline to each week in the frequency of SBMs without straining per week was 3.24 SBMs at Week 1 and 2.48 SBMs at Week 2.

The mean change from baseline in the frequency of rescue-use of laxatives per week for Treatment Period 1 was -2.08.

The weekly change from baseline in the abdominal bloating scores for Treatment Period 1 was -0.33 at Week 1 and -0.37 at Week 2. The weekly change from baseline in the abdominal discomfort scores for Treatment Period 1 was -0.21 at Week 1 and -0.35 at Week 2.

The PAC-SYM mean scores for overall and the 3 domains of PAC-SYM significantly improved from baseline at all observation time points.

The PAC-QOL mean scores for overall and the 4 domains of PAC-QOL significantly improved from baseline at all observation time points.

The proportion of responders for overall PAC-SYM was in the range of 23.8% to 48.5% at each time point throughout Treatment Period. The proportion of responders for dissatisfaction domain of PAC-QOL was in the range of 48.6% to 66.7% at each time point throughout Treatment Period.

Sponsor: Shionogi & Co., Ltd.	Individual Study Table Referring to Part of the Dossier	(For National Authority Use only)
Name of Finished Product:	Volume:	
Not applicable		
Name of Active Ingredient:	Page:	
Naldemedine		

Safety:

A total of 43 patients were enrolled in the study. All of them received the study drug and were included in the Safety Population.

There was 1 patient (2.3%) who died after the initiation of study drug administration. An administrative autopsy could not reveal the cause of death. As the patient had medical conditions of venous thrombosis in the lower extremities and pulmonary embolism prior to the study enrollment, the death was suspected to be associated with these conditions by the investigator. In addition, because of the mechanism of action of naldemedine and the long dosing duration, the investigator considered that the death was not related to the study drug. Five other SAEs in 4 patients (9.3%) were reported after the initiation of study drug administration: urethral stenosis, ileus, and urinary tract infection in 1 patient each; and cerebral infarction and cholelithiasis in 1 patient. The SOCs of these events were different from each other. All SAEs were considered not related to the study drug by the investigator.

Three patients (7.0%) discontinued the study drug because of death, ileus, or anal fissure after the initiation of study drug administration. These TEAEs were considered not related to the study drug by the investigator. The ileus and anal fissure recovered/resolved.

A total of 136 TEAEs were reported in 38 patients (88.4%) and a total of 20 treatmentrelated AEs were reported in 12 patients (27.9%) after the initiation of study drug administration.

The most frequent TEAEs for Treatment Period 1 were with respect to the SOC of Gastrointestinal Disorders (18.6%). The most frequently reported TEAEs occurring after the initiation of study drug administration were with respect to the SOC of Gastrointestinal Disorders (53.5%), followed by SOC of Infections and Infestations (41.9%). The most frequently reported TEAEs occurring after the initiation of study drug administration were nasopharyngitis (25.6%), followed by diarrhea (23.3%).

The most frequent treatment-related AEs for Treatment Period 1 were with respect to the SOC of Gastrointestinal Disorders (16.3%). The treatment-related AEs occurring after the initiation of study drug administration were similar to those for Treatment Period 1. The most frequently reported treatment-related AEs occurring after the initiation of study drug administration were diarrhea (14.0%), followed by abdominal pain (7.0%).

Three TEAEs (cerebral infarction in 1 patient and blood creatine phosphokinase increased in 2 patients) were categorized as MACE cases. The cerebral infarction was

Sponsor: Shionogi & Co., Ltd.	Individual Study Table Referring to Part of the Dossier	(For National Authority Use only)
Name of Finished Product:	Volume:	
Not applicable		
Name of Active Ingredient:	Page:	
Naldemedine		

severe and serious. The cerebral infarction was considered not related to the study drug by the investigator since the patient had concurrent condition of old myocardial infarction, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia and the event recovered/resolved with continuing the administration of naldemedine. Both cases of blood creatine phosphokinase increased were mild and considered not related to the study drug by the investigator.

One case of drug withdrawal syndrome was reported. The drug withdrawal syndrome was considered due to a dose reduction of concomitant opioid and not related to the study drug by the investigator. The drug withdrawal syndrome recovered/resolved with a dose increase of the concomitant opioid. All patients reported a total COWS score of < 5 at all scheduled time points. One patient reported a total COWS score of 6 at an unscheduled time point (Day 5). The investigator considered that the COWS escalation was due to the patient's physiological variations and environmental condition and that there was no relationship with opioid withdrawal syndrome.

The mean changes from baseline in the laboratory measurements were generally small and no clinically relevant changes were observed. There were no cases of AST or ALT $> 3 \times ULN$, and total bilirubin $> 2 \times ULN$, which would correspond to "Hy's law case".

No abnormal findings in ECG or abnormal changes in vital signs were found.

The changes from baseline in the mean NRS score were small and were not clinically meaningful at all of the time points. The dose of regular-use opioids generally stayed unchanged during the study.

Pharmacokinetics:

At Visit 3 (Day 15), the mean (minimum – maximum) elapsed time from dosing to PK sampling time was 18.49 (2.53 - 35.77) hours and the mean (minimum – maximum) plasma concentration was 1.02 (0.0256 - 3.27) ng/mL for naldemedine and 0.200 (0.00 - 0.397) ng/mL for nor-naldemedine for the PK Concentration Population.

CONCLUSIONS

Of the 43 patients enrolled in the study, 31 (72.1%) patients completed the study. Most of the enrolled patients received the study drug at the stable dose of 0.2 mg during the study treatment. None of fatal, non-fatal SAEs, MACE cases were considered related to the study drug. No clinically meaningful findings or changes were observed in the safety measurement parameters including laboratory measurements, ECG, vital signs, COWS score, NRS score, or the dose of regular-use opioids.

The efficacy endpoints based on SBM and CSBM showed that naldemedine was effective during the 2-week Treatment Period 1. In addition, the PAC-SYM and PAC-

Sponsor: Shionogi & Co., Ltd.	Individual Study Table Referring to Part of the Dossier	(For National Authority Use only)
Name of Finished Product:	Volume:	
Not applicable		
Name of Active Ingredient:	Page:	
Naldemedine		
QOL scores significantly improved from baseline at all observation time points throughout the 48-week Treatment Period, showing the durability of efficacy. Overall, the oral daily dose of 0.2 mg naldemedine was generally well tolerated and effective in patients with chronic non-cancer pain and OIC in this long-term study.		
Final Report Date: 27 January	2016	
Date of Errata: 22 February 20	016	
Date of Revision: 2 November	2016	